



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/902,651	07/12/2001	Hiroyuki Nakane	77670/495	2816
7590 Judith L Toffenetti Kenyon & Kenyon 1500 K Street NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20005		04/08/2009	EXAMINER STEADMAN, DAVID J	
			ART UNIT 1656	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 04/08/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/902,651	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NAKANE ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> David J. Steadman	<b>Art Unit</b> 1656

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) David J. Steadman. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) King L. Wong. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 April 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: None.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Wong provided the examiner with draft copies of documents for review, particularly a draft copy of a substitute reissue declaration. The examiner consulted TQAS Bennet Celsa for initial review of the draft copy of a substitute reissue declaration. The examiner noted to Mr. Wong that the draft copy of a substitute reissue declaration appeared to address the issues noted in the interview conducted on 3/16/09.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/David J. Steadman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1656	
--	--